

Students Feedback Analysis Report
2012-13

Department: PG (MBA)

Feedback collected during: January 2013

Total No. of students enrolled: 338 (180+158)

No. of students participated in feedback: 80

Sr. No.	Parameter	% Response				
		V Good	Good	Ave	Poor	V Poor
1	Regularity / Punctuality of class	45	50	5	-	-
2	Completion of Syllabus	55	35	10	-	-
3	Students Interaction	40	30	20	10	-
4	Class Control	35	40	20	5	-
5	Teaching Material	30	30	30	10	-
6	Guest Lectures	20	30	30	20	-
7	Teaching Methodology adopted by faculties	45	40	15	-	-
8	Effectiveness of Co-curricular activities	30	20	40	10	-
9	Library Facility	25	30	40	5	-
10	Canteen Facility	20	30	30	10	10
11	Cleanliness of washrooms & Classrooms	20	20	30	30	-

Inferences:

1. Dissatisfaction of students regarding cleanliness of washrooms was observed.
2. Student's dissatisfaction regarding arrangements in canteen facility was noted.
3. Teaching method adopted by Prof. Ketki Salankar was not satisfactory
4. Insufficient no. guest lecturers was a point of concern for the students
5. Students were fairly satisfied with the regularity and punctuality of faculties

Specific Remarks:

1. Break time to be increased
2. CCTV cameras to be installed
3. More focus on Placement activities was suggested by students



Dr. Sanjay Kavishwar
Dean – Academics

Student Feedback Analysis Report

2012-13

Department : Undergraduate -BBA & B.Com(C.A.)

Feedback collected during: January 2013

Number of students enrolled for the Program: 691 (550 BBA and 141 BCCA)

Number of students participated: 70


Sr. No.	Parameter	% Response		
		Satisfied	Neutral	Not Satisfied
1	Regularity of class	50	35	15
2	Syllabus completion	40	34	26
3	Subject Knowledge & Delivery	44	33	23
4	Students Interaction	36	33	31
5	Providing teaching material	30	41	29
6	Class Control & Discipline	42	34	24
7	PEP Activities	40	35	25
8	Library Facility	30	35	35
9	Canteen Facility	24	33	43
10	Cleanliness of Classrooms & Washrooms	35	40	25

Inferences:

1. Students are dissatisfied with the canteen facilities. (Sitting arrangements should be made near the canteen area).
2. Students need more interaction with faculty members.
3. Students need specific notes related to specific topics from their syllabus.
4. Students need extra time for preparations of PEP activities.
5. Students are satisfied with regularity of the class.
6. Students are dissatisfied with the LAB facilities

Specific Remarks:

1. The students were satisfied with the library facilities.
2. The students are highly satisfied with PEP activities



Prof. Carol Poonekar

Program Coordinator

Alumni Feedback Analysis Report

2012-13

Department: UG (BBA/ BCCA) & PG (MBA)

No .of Students participated in Feedback: 100


SN	Parameters evaluated	Response %		
		Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied
1	Campus environment	40	25	35
2	Teaching standards	65	25	10
3	Quality of IT Lab	35	20	45
4	Quality of Library	30	15	55
5	Students amenities	45	25	30
6	Assessment & Examination System	30	25	45
7	Rating of the faculty members / institute	60	15	25
8	Placement efforts from the institute	55	20	25
9	Usefulness of activities for student development	45	20	35
10	Medical facilities	35	20	45

Inferences:

1. The feedback suggests dissatisfaction amongst the alumni for not having a good IT lab with proper amenities like high internet speed, printer, scanner, etc.
2. The feedback also highlights the dissatisfaction related to the library – in relation to the editions of books available and the quality of books.
3. They were satisfied with the basic amenities available to them during their course tenure.
4. The feedback suggested that the alumni were very much satisfied with the 'activity based learning' which has helped many students for further placements.
5. They expressed their dissatisfaction towards the medical facilities, not available in the institute as compared to other colleges.

Special Remarks:

1. Increase the number of books (latest editions) and review the return policy of the library.
2. More number of interactive sessions to be conducted between Alumni and the Industry experts along with the present batch of students, to know the latest trends going on in the market.
3. The institute should have an in house doctor to attend the medical emergencies.



Dr. Sanjay Kavishwar

Dean - Academics